arXiv:1510.01666v1 [quant-ph] 5 Oct 2015

Parametric symmetries in exactly solvable real and PT symmetric complex potentials

Rajesh Kumar Yadav[a*], Avinash Khare[b†], Bijan Bagchi[c‡], Nisha Kumari[d§] and Bhabani Prasad Mandal[d¶]

October 7, 2015

[a] Department of Physics, S. P. College, S K. M. University, Dumka-814101, India.
[b] Department of Physics, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune-411007, India.
[c] Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Calcutta, Kolkata-700 009, India.
[d] Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the parametric symmetries in different exactly solvable systems characterized by real or complex PT symmetric potentials. We focus our attention on the conventional potentials such as the generalized Pöschl Teller (GPT), Scarf-I and PT symmetric Scarf-II which are invariant under certain parametric transformations. The resulting set of potentials are shown to yield a completely different behavior of the bound state solutions. Further the supersymmetric (SUSY) partner potentials acquire different forms under such parametric transformations leading to new sets of exactly solvable real and PT symmetric complex potentials. These potentials are also observed to be shape invariant (SI) in nature. We subsequently take up a study of the newly discovered rationally extended SI Potentials, corresponding to the above mentioned conventional potentials, whose bound state solutions are associated with the exceptional orthogonal polynomials (EOPs). We discuss the transformations of the corresponding Casimir operator employing the properties of the $so(2,1)$ algebra.

*e-mail address: rajeshastrophysics@gmail.com (R.K.Y)
†e-mail address: khare@physics.unipune.ac.in (A.K)
‡e-mail: bbagchi123@gmail.com (B.B)
§e-mail address: nishaism0086@gmail.com (N.K)
¶e-mail address: bhabani.mandal@gmail.com (B.P.M).

1 Introduction

The exactly solvable (ES) models play an important role in our understanding of the bound state problems in quantum mechanics. However, in the literature, ES systems are hard come by as is evidenced by the appearence of only a handful of potentials that yield to an exact treatment [1, 2]. With the advent of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM), following a remarkable paper by Witten in 1981 [3], it was realized that SUSY offers a clue to the general nature of solvability which basically amounts to a process of factorizing the Schrödinger Hamiltonian. In effect this means that a nonlinear differential equation for the superpotential that belongs to a Riccati's class needs to be solved and that it is only for a limited choices of the superpotentials that such a criterion can be fulfilled. From the superpotential it is always possible to work out a pair of isospectral partner Hamiltonians satisfying the condition of shape invariance (SI) [4]. In the unbroken case of SUSY to which we shall restrict ourselves here, the ground state is nondegenerate but otherwise both the Hamiltonians have an identical column of energies except with the ground state belonging to only one of the components but not to both.

The list of exactly solvable systems was further expanded in the context of polynomial Heisenberg algebras [5, 6] which offer additional degeneracies of the energy levels and new families exceptional orthogonal polynomials (EOPs) (also known as X_m Laguerre and X_m Jacobi polynomials) [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] that start with polynomials of degree one or higher but could be reduced to tractable forms of differential equations which give solvable forms of the spectra [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. These include three new classes of exactly solvable and SI potentials [13, 14, 15, 16, 29] which could be identified as the rational extensions of the radial oscillator, generalized Pöschl Teller (GPT) and Scarf I potentials.

A parallel development concerning complex extensions of quantum mechanics, a subclass of which is controlled by an underlying combined parity (P) and time reversal (T) symmetry that yields a large family of exactly solvable potentials, have also attracted much attention over the past one and half decades due to the realization of fully consistent quantum theories within such a framework [30, 31, 32]. In the present work one of our aim is to discuss the parametric symmetries for some of the exactly solvable Hermitian as well as PT symmetric complex potentials and their rational extensions counterparts. For concreteness we would focus on the conventional GPT, Scarf-I and PT symmetric Scarf-II potentials. We observe that while under certain parametric transformations these potentials remain invariant, the set of bound states change to a different one. In fact, within $SUSYQM$, the corresponding superpotentials for these potentials change under such parametric transformation leading to new partner potentials which satisfy usual SI property. Thus the parametric symmetry in these potentials is responsible for a previously unnoticed set of bound state solutions as well as generating new ES potentials. We further apply the same technique to rationally extended GPT, Scarf-I and PT symmetric Scarf-II potentials and obtain the new bound state solutions associated with these potentials. In all three cases it turns out possible for the new solutions to be written in terms

of EOPs. This result is of interest. We further observe that the scattering amplitudes corresponding to the two sets of bound states for GPT and complex PT symmetric Scarf II potentials remain invariant under these parametric transformations. We also determine new ES potentials which are isospectral to the conventional potentials for all three cases.

A point to note here: An algebraic technique based upon the use of $so(2,1)$ algebra for the Schrödinger equation to construct exactly solvable potentials in quantum mechanics was pioneered long time ago by Alhassid et al [33, 34, 35, 36, 37] along with some other groups [38, 39, 40] in a series of papers which were later extended in [41] by considering more general possibilities for the generators. Subsequently complex extensions of these works were carried out in [42, 43, 44] and interesting results were derived such as the existence of two series of energy levels stemming from two noncommuting classes of complex Lie algebras. In particular for the PT-symmetric complex Scarf-II potential it was observed that it supports two series of real eigenvalues with physically acceptable wavefunctions that is related to the invariance of the potential under exchange of its coupling parameters.

These group theoretic techniques were recently extended to the case of rationally extended potentials by extending the generators of the associated $so(2,1)$ group through the introduction of a new operator U to express the Hamiltonian in terms of Casimir of the group. Hence the bound states corresponding to the rationally extended potentials are obtained in terms of EOPs in an elegant fashion [29]. In the following we will discuss how the generators get modified under this parametric symmetry of the potentials .

The plan of the present paper is as follows: In section 2, we discuss the parametric symmetries in conventional GPT, Scarf-I and PT symmetric complex Scarf-II potentials and obtained their new solutions with new superpotentials. Corresponding to these conventional potentials new rationally extended potentials whose solutions are in terms of EOPs are also obtain in this section. In section 3, we discuss briefly the $so(2,1)$ algebra corresponding to these extended potentials and obtain the modified generators of rationally extended GPT potential. The algebra corresponding to the rationally extended PT symmetric Scarf II (i.e. $sl(2,\mathbb{C})$ algebra) and the rationally extended Scarf I (i.e $iso(2,1)$ algebra) potentials are discussed briefly. The corresponding modified generators are also obtained in this section. Finally we summarize the results obtained in Section 4.

2 New bound state solutions of exactly solvable conventional potentials and their rationally extended counterparts

In this section, we focus on few exactly solvable shape invariant potentials which are invariant under certain parametric transformations. The curious thing is that the forms of their supersymmtric partner potentials change under such transformations. This allows us to obtain previously unnoticed sets of bound state solutions of the conventional poten-

tials. We have based our investigations on three different types of potentials, namely the generalized Pöschl Teller (GPT) potential, trigonometric Scarf (Scarf I) and PT symmetric complex Scarf II potentials. In what to follow the new potentials determined by us will be used as a springboard to undertake calculations for the more complicated rational extensions of such potentials and go for a potential algebra treatment to tie them up in a single framework of $so(2,1)$ complex algebra. The rationally extended SI potentials and their solutions in terms of EOPs corresponding to the conventional GPT, Scarf I and PT symmetric complex Scarf II potentials are already obtained in Refs. [13, 14]. We shall briefly review certain aspects of the existing solutions and then proceed to obtain new sets of rationally extended real and PT symmetric complex SI potentials corresponding to the above three new conventional potentials by using parametric transformation which leaves the usual rationally extended potentials invariant.

2.1 GPT potential

The conventional GPT potential defined on the half-line $0 < x < \infty$ is given by

$$V^{(A,B)}_{1,GPT}(x) = (B^2 + A(A+1))\mathrm{cosech}^2 x - B(2A+1)\mathrm{cosech}x \coth x. \tag{1}$$

The accompanying bound state energy eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions are [2]

$$E_n = -(A-n)^2; \qquad n = 0, 1, 2.....n_{\max} < A \tag{2}$$

and

$$\psi^{(A,B)}_n(x) = N_n(\cosh x - 1)^{\frac{B-A}{2}}(\cosh x + 1)^{-\frac{B+A}{2}} P^{(\alpha,\beta)}_n(\cosh x), \quad B > A+1 > 1, \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha = B - A - \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = -B - A - \frac{1}{2}$ and N_n is the normalization constant.

Corresponding to (1) the superpotential $W(x)$ is known to be

$$W(x) = A\coth x - B\mathrm{cosech}x. \tag{4}$$

As a result the partner potential to the GPT potential can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{2,GPT}(x) &= W^2(x) + \frac{dW(x)}{dx} \\ &= (B^2 + A(A-1))\mathrm{cosech}^2 x - B(2A-1)\mathrm{cosech}x \coth x. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The potential $V^{(A,B)}_{2,GPT}(x)$ can be recognized to be shape invariant [2] under a simple translation $A \to A - 1$.

The scattering amplitude corresponding to (1) has the form [45]

$$S_{l=0}(k) = 2^{-4ik}\frac{\Gamma(2ik)\Gamma(-A-ik)\Gamma(B+\frac{1}{2}-ik)}{\Gamma(-2ik)\Gamma(-A+ik)\Gamma(B+\frac{1}{2}+ik)}. \tag{6}$$

where k is the wave number. The poles of the gamma functions give the bound state energy spectrum (2).

We next exploit an interesting property of $V^{(A,B)}_{1,GPT}(x)$ that its form is unaffected under the joint replacements of $B \longleftrightarrow (A+\frac{1}{2})$ in addition to being symmetric corresponding to simultaneous transformations $B \longrightarrow -B$ and $(A+\frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow -(A+\frac{1}{2})$. From the consideration of the correct asymptotic behavior of the bound state wave functions we can restrict, without loss of generality, to $B > 0$, $A > -\frac{1}{2}$. If we apply the former transformations of parameters we notice that the energy eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of (1) acquire completely different forms being given by

$$E_n = -(B - n - \frac{1}{2})^2; \qquad n = 0, 1, 2.....n_{\max} < B - \frac{1}{2} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\psi_n^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}(x) = N_n^{(B\leftrightarrow A+1/2)}(\cosh x - 1)^{\frac{A-B+1}{2}}(\cosh x + 1)^{-\frac{B+A}{2}} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cosh x), \tag{8}$$

with $A > -\frac{1}{2}, B > 0$ and the parameters $\alpha = A-B+\frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = -A-B-\frac{1}{2}$. The transposition $B \longleftrightarrow (A+\frac{1}{2})$ also leads to a different but a perfectly acceptable superpotential to (1) namely

$$W(x) = (B - \frac{1}{2})\coth x - (A + \frac{1}{2})\mathrm{cosech}x. \tag{9}$$

It induces a partner potential that has the form

$$V^{(A,B)}_{2,GPT}(x) = ((B-1)^2 + A(A+1))\mathrm{cosech}^2 x - (B-1)(2A+1)\mathrm{cosech}x \coth x. \tag{10}$$

One can notice that (1) is also shape invariant under the translation $B \to B - 1$.

The scattering amplitudes for the s-wave ($l = 0$) to include the new state of bound states (7) is obtained from (6) by making the replacements $B \longleftrightarrow (A + \frac{1}{2})$:

$$S_{l=0}^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}(k) = 2^{-4ik}\frac{\Gamma(2ik)\Gamma(-B+\frac{1}{2}-ik)\Gamma(A-ik+1)}{\Gamma(-2ik)\Gamma(-B+\frac{1}{2}+ik)\Gamma(A+ik+1)}. \tag{11}$$

Thus we see that the GPT potential has another scattering matrix[1] because of its invariance under the interplay of its coupling parameters. The poles of S-matrix (11) giving correct bound states (7). Here we notice that thus so long as $B - A - \frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer, GPT has two sets of nodeless states, two states with one nodes etc. The true ground state of the system will depend on which of A and $B - \frac{1}{2}$ is less.

[1]Unlike the above two parametric transformations transformations i.e $B \longleftrightarrow (A + \frac{1}{2})$ and $B \longrightarrow -B, (A+\frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow -(A+\frac{1}{2})$, third parametric transformation $B \longleftrightarrow -(A+\frac{1}{2})$ is also possible under which the S-matrix (6) remains invariant.

2.1.1 Rationally extended GPT potential

The rationally extended SI GPT potential [14] which is isospectral to the conventional GPT potential (1), defined for the parameter $B > A + 1 > 1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{1,extd}(x) &= (B^2 + A(A+1))\text{cosech}^2 x - B(2A+1)\text{cosech}x \coth x \\ &+ \frac{2(2A+1)}{2B\cosh x - 2A - 1} - \frac{2(4B^2 - (2A+1)^2)}{(2B\cosh x - 2A - 1)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The wave functions of this extended potential in terms of X_1 exceptional Jacobi polynomials, $\hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_n(\cosh x)$ is given by

$$\psi^{(A,B)}_{n,extd}(x) = N_{n,extd} \times \frac{(\cosh x - 1)^{\frac{(B-A)}{2}}(\cosh x + 1)^{-\frac{(B+A)}{2}}}{[2B\cosh x - 2A - 1]} \hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n+1}(\cosh x), \tag{13}$$

where the parameters α and β are same as defined in Eq. (3) and $N_{n,extd}$ is the normalization constant. The superpotential corresponding to this potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= A\coth x - B\text{cosech}x + 2B\sinh x \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{(2B\cosh x - 2A - 1)} - \frac{1}{(2B\cosh x - 2A + 1)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

On changing the parameters $B \longleftrightarrow (A + \frac{1}{2})$, the above extended potential (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{1,extd}(x) &= (B^2 + A(A+1))\text{cosech}^2 x - B(2A+1)\text{cosech}x \coth x \\ &+ \frac{4B}{2(A+\frac{1}{2})\cosh x - 2B} - \frac{8((A+\frac{1}{2})^2 - B^2)}{(2(A+\frac{1}{2})\cosh x - 2B)^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and hence is not invariant unlike the conventional GPT potential (1). This new rationally extended GPT potential is isospectral to the new conventional GPT potential whose bound state spectrums are given in (7). The wave functions of this new extended potential in terms of X_1 exceptional Jacobi polynomials, $\hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_n(\cosh x)$ is given by

$$\psi^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}_{n,extd}(x) = N^{B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2}}_{n,extd} \times \frac{(\cosh x - 1)^{\frac{A-B+1}{2}}(\cosh x + 1)^{-\frac{B+A}{2}}}{[2(A+\frac{1}{2})\cosh x - 2B]} \hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n+1}(\cosh x). \tag{16}$$

The superpotential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= (B - \frac{1}{2})\coth x - (A + \frac{1}{2})\text{cosech}x + 2(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{(2(A+\frac{1}{2})\cosh x - 2B)} - \frac{1}{(2(A+\frac{1}{2})\cosh x - 2B + 2)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Using this superpotential, we get the partner potential

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{2,extd}(x) &= ((B-1)^2 + A(A+1))\text{cosech}^2 x - (B-1)(2A+1)\text{cosech}x \coth x \\ &+ \frac{4(B-1)}{[2(A+\frac{1}{2})\cosh x - 2B + 2]} - \frac{8((A+\frac{1}{2})^2 - (B-1)^2)}{[2(A+\frac{1}{2})\cosh x - 2B + 2]^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

It can be easily shown that this new rationally extended GPT potential is also shape invariant under the translation of parameter $B \to B-1$.

The above extended SI GPT potential (15) isospectral to (7) is easily generalized to the X_m case given by

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{m,extd}(x) &= (B^2+A(A+1))\text{cosech}^2\, x - B(2A+1)\text{cosech}\, x \coth x \\ &+ 2m(2A-m+2) - (2A-m+2)[(2B-2(A+1)\cosh x)] \\ &\times \frac{P^{(-\alpha,\beta)}_{m-1}(\cosh x)}{P^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}_{m}(\cosh x)} + \frac{(2A-m+2)^2\sinh^2 x}{2} \\ &\times \left(\frac{P^{(-\alpha,\beta)}_{m-1}(\cosh x)}{P^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}_{m}(\cosh x)}\right)^2; \quad 0 \le x \le \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The corresponding wavefunctions in terms of X_m Jacobi polynomials ($\hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n+m}(\cosh x)$) are given by

$$\psi^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}_{n,m}(x) = N^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}_{n,m,extd} \times \frac{(\cosh x-1)^{(\frac{A-B+1}{2})}(\cosh x+1)^{-(\frac{B+A}{2})}}{P^{(-B-A-\frac{1}{2},-B-A-\frac{3}{2})}_{m}(\cosh x)} \hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n+m}(\cosh x). \tag{20}$$

The scattering amplitudes corresponding to the above potentials (19) are obtained by taking the asymptotic behaviors of the associated X_m Jacobi polynomials given by

$$S^m_{l=0} = S^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}_{l=0}(k)\left[\frac{\{(A+\frac{1}{2})^2-(ik-\frac{1}{2})^2\}+(A-ik+1)(1-m)}{\{(A+\frac{1}{2})^2-(ik+\frac{1}{2})^2\}+(A+ik+1)(1-m)}\right]. \tag{21}$$

For $m=1$, the scattering amplitude corresponds to the X_1 case and in the limit $m=0$ it reduces to $S^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}_{l=0}(k)$ given in Eq. (11). The above scattering amplitudes (21) can be also obtained simply by replacing $B \longleftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2}$ in the scattering amplitudes obtained in Ref. [23].

2.2 Trigonometric Scarf or Scarf I potential

We now consider the second example namely, the conventional Scarf I potential which reads in the standard form

$$V^{(A,B)}_{1,Scarf}(x) = (B^2+A(A-1))\sec^2 x - B(2A-1)\sec x\tan x; \qquad -\frac{\pi}{2} < x < \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{22}$$

The energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are [2]

$$E_n = (A+n)^2; \qquad n = 0,1,2.... \tag{23}$$

and

$$\psi^{(A,B)}_n(x) = N_n(1-\sin x)^{\frac{A-B}{2}}(1+\sin x)^{\frac{A+B}{2}}P^{(\alpha,\beta)}_n(\sin x), \quad 0 < B < A-1, \tag{24}$$

where $\alpha = A - B - \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = A + B - \frac{1}{2}$ and N_n is the normalization constant. The superpotential corresponding to this potential is already known and given by

$$W(x) = A \tan x - B \sec x, \tag{25}$$

yielding the partner potential

$$V^{(A,B)}_{2,Scarf}(x) = (B^2 + A(A+1)) \sec^2 x - B(2A+1) \sec x \tan x. \tag{26}$$

This potential is shape invariant under the translation of parameter $A \to A + 1$.

On transforming the parameters $B \longleftrightarrow (A - \frac{1}{2})$, the given Scarf I potential (22) remains invariant, but the energy eigenvalues, eigenfunctions and the superpotential have completely different forms and are given by

$$E_n = (B + n + \frac{1}{2})^2; \qquad n = 0, 1, 2..... \tag{27}$$

$$\psi_n^{(B \leftrightarrow A - \frac{1}{2})}(x) = N_n^{B \leftrightarrow A - \frac{1}{2}} (1 - \sin x)^{\frac{B-A+1}{2}} (1 + \sin x)^{\frac{A+B}{2}} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x); \quad B > A - 1 > 0, \tag{28}$$

and

$$W(x) = (B + \frac{1}{2}) \tan x - (A - \frac{1}{2}) \sec x, \tag{29}$$

with a new set of parameters $\alpha = B - A + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = A + B - \frac{1}{2}$.
The partner potential corresponding to this new system is given by

$$V^{(A,B)}_{2,Scarf}(x) = ((B+1)^2 + A(A-1)) \sec^2 x - (B+1)(2A-1) \sec x \tan x. \tag{30}$$

Thus, we observe that on changing the parameters, the invariance potential $V_1(x)$ under $B \leftrightarrow (A - \frac{1}{2})$ allows, as in the previous case of the GPT potential, a new defining superpotential which provides a different partner potential to the Scarf-I potential than the one considered in (26). The latter is also SI under the translation of a different parameter $B \longrightarrow B + 1$. Here we also notice that the parametric transformation $B \leftrightarrow (A - \frac{1}{2})$ generates two sets of bound states for the Scarf I potentials. In other words we have two sets of nodeless states, two states with one node etc, but true ground state of the system will depends on which of A and $B + \frac{1}{2}$ is less.

2.2.1 Rationally extended Scarf I potential

The rationally extended Scarf I potential [13] isospectral to the conventional one (22) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{1,extd}(x) &= (B^2 + A(A-1)) \sec^2 x - B(2A-1) \sec x \tan x \\ &+ \frac{2(2A-1)}{(2A-1-2B\sin x)} - \frac{2[(2A-1)^2 - B^2]}{(2A-1-2B\sin x)^2}, \quad 0 < B < A - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The wavefunctions in terms of exceptional X_1 Jacobi polynomials are

$$\psi_n^{(A,B)}(x) = N_{n,extd} \times \frac{(1-\sin x)^{\frac{A-B}{2}}(1+\sin x)^{\frac{A+B}{2}}}{(2A-1-2B\sin x)} \hat{P}_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x). \tag{32}$$

The generalization to the X_m case is well known and given in detail in Ref. [15].

In the case of conventional Scarf I potential (22), we have shown that on changing the parameters ($B \leftrightarrow A - \frac{1}{2}$), the potential is remain invariant but the bound states solutions are different which are given in Eqs. (27) and (28). Under this parametric transformation the rationally extended potential (31) is not invariant and we get a new rationally extended Scarf I potential isospectral to the conventional Scarf I potential (22) with the energy eigenvalues (27). This new set of rationally extended Scarf I potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{1,extd}^{(A,B)}(x) &= (B^2 + A(A-1))\sec^2 x - B(2A-1)\sec x \tan x \\ &+ \frac{4B}{(2B - 2(A-\frac{1}{2})\sin x)} - \frac{8(B^2 - (A-\frac{1}{2})^2)}{(2B-2(A-\frac{1}{2})\sin x)^2}; \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

with $B > A - 1 > 0$. The wavefunctions of this potential in terms of X_1 exceptional Jacobi orthogonal polynomials, $\hat{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x)$ become

$$\psi_n^{(B\leftrightarrow A-\frac{1}{2})}(x) = N_{n,ext}^{B\leftrightarrow A-\frac{1}{2}} \times \frac{(1-\sin x)^{\frac{B-A+1}{2}}(1+\sin x)^{\frac{A+B}{2}}}{2B - 2(A-\frac{1}{2})\sin x} \hat{P}_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x). \tag{34}$$

The superpotential for this new rationally extended Scarf I potential is

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= (B+\frac{1}{2})\tan x - (A-\frac{1}{2})\sec x + 2(A-\frac{1}{2})\cos x \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{2B+2-(2A-1)\sin x} - \frac{1}{2B-(2A-1)\sin x}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Thus the partner potential can easily be obtained and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2,extd}^{(A,B)}(x) &= ((B+1)^2 + A(A-1))\sec^2 x - (B+1)(2A-1)\sec x \tan x \\ &+ \frac{4(B+1)}{(2(B+1) - 2(A-\frac{1}{2})\sin x)} - \frac{8((B+1)^2 - (A-\frac{1}{2})^2)}{(2(B+1)-2(A-\frac{1}{2})\sin x)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

This new rationally extended potential is also translationally SI under the translation of parameter $B \longrightarrow B+1$.

The potentials corresponding to the X_m case and their wavefunctions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{m,extd}^{(A,B)}(x) &= \frac{(2\alpha^2+2\beta^2)}{4}\sec^2 x - \frac{(\beta^2-\alpha^2)}{2}\sec x\tan x - 2m(\alpha-\beta-m+1) \\ &- (\alpha-\beta-m+1)(\alpha+\beta+(\alpha-\beta+1)\sin x)\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x)}{P_m^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}(\sin x)} \\ &+ \frac{(\alpha-\beta-m+1)^2\cos^2 x}{2}\left(\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x)}{P_m^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}(\sin x)}\right)^2, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < x < \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and

$$\psi_{n,m}^{(A,B)}(x) = N_{n,m,extd} \times \frac{(1-\sin x)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}(1+\sin x)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}}{P_m^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}(\sin x)} \hat{P}_{n+m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x) \tag{38}$$

respectively. The above potentials are isospectral to their conventional one (i.e the energy eigenvalues are same as given in (27)) and are also SI under the translation of parameter $B \longrightarrow B+1$.

2.3 PT symmetric complex Scarf-II potential

As a third example, we consider the well known complex and PT symmetric Scarf II potential [42, 43, 44, 47, 48, 49, 50]. In the usual notations the PT symmetric Scarf II potential defined on the full line $-\infty < x < \infty$ is given by

$$V_{1,ScarfII}^{(A,B)}(x) = -(B^2 + A(A+1))\,\mathrm{sech}^2 x + iB(2A+1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,x\tanh x; \qquad A > B - \frac{1}{2} > 0. \tag{39}$$

With the above definition of $V_{1,ScarfII}^{(A,B)}(x)$, the energy eigenvalues are real [43] namely,

$$E_n = -(A-n)^2; \qquad n = 0,1,2....n_{\max} < A, \tag{40}$$

and the accompanying eigenfunctions are given by

$$\psi_n^{(A,B)}(x) = N_n(\mathrm{sech}\,x)^A \exp(-iB\tan^{-1}(\sinh x)) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(i\sinh x), \tag{41}$$

with $\alpha = B - A - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = -B - A - \frac{1}{2}$.
The superpotential

$$W(x) = A\tanh x + iB\,\mathrm{sech}\,x \tag{42}$$

and the partner potential is

$$V_{2,ScarII}^{(A,B)}(x) = -(B^2 + A(A-1))\,\mathrm{sech}^2 x + iB(2A-1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,x\tanh x. \tag{43}$$

Thus the potential is translationally shape invariant under the translation of parameter $A \to A-1$.

In this case, the symmetry under the translation of parameters $B \longleftrightarrow (A+\frac{1}{2})$ has been already discussed in Ref. [42]. Here we mention only the results to get the consistency with the new rationally extended case discussed in next section.

The bound state energy eigenvalues and the wavefunctions under the above parametric transformation are given by

$$E_n = -(B-n-\frac{1}{2})^2; \qquad n = 0,1,2,...,n_{\max} < B - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{44}$$

and

$$\psi_n^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}(x) = N_n^{B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{sech}\,x)^{B-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-i(A+\frac{1}{2})\tan^{-1}(\sinh x)) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(i\sinh x) \tag{45}$$

respectively, where the parameters $\alpha = A - B + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = -A - B - \frac{1}{2}$.
The transimission $t(k)$ and reflection $r(k)$ amplitudes for the potential (39) is in fact invariant under the transformation $B \longleftrightarrow A + \frac{1}{2}$, as can be seen from the expressions [49]

$$t(k) = \frac{\Gamma(-A - ik)\Gamma(A + 1 - ik)\Gamma(-B - ik + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(B - ik + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-ik)\Gamma(1 - ik)\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2} - ik)} \tag{46}$$

and

$$r(k) = t(k)\big[i\cos(\pi A)\sin(\pi B)\,\mathrm{sech}(\pi k) + i\sin(\pi A)\cos(\pi B)\mathrm{cosech}(\pi k)\big]. \tag{47}$$

The poles of the gamma functions $\Gamma(-A - ik)$ and $\Gamma(-B - ik + \frac{1}{2})$ give the exact bound state energy spectrums (40) and (44) respectively.

2.3.1 Rationally extended PT symmetric complex Scarf II potential

The rationally extended PT symmetric complex Scarf II potential [14] isospectral to the conventional one (39) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{1,ScarfII}(x) &= -(B^2 + A(A+1))\,\mathrm{sech}^2 x + iB(2A+1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,x\tanh x \\ &+ \frac{-2(2A+1)}{(-2iB\sinh x + 2A + 1)} + \frac{2[(2A+1)^2 - B^2]}{(-2iB\sinh x + 2A + 1)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The eigenfunctions in terms of X_1 exceptional Jacobi polynomials associated with this system are

$$\psi^{(A,B)}_n(x) = N_{n,extd}\frac{(\mathrm{sech}\,x)^A \exp\{-iB\tan^{-1}(\sinh x)\}}{-2iB\sinh x + 2A + 1}\hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n+1}(i\sinh x). \tag{49}$$

Similar to the above two cases of real potentials, now we show that the parametric transformation $B \longleftrightarrow A + \frac{1}{2}$ in the conventional PT symmetric complex Scarf II potential leads to a new rationally extended PT symmetric Scarf II potential

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(A,B)}_{1,extd}(x) &= -(B^2 + A(A+1))\,\mathrm{sech}^2 x + iB(2A+1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,x\tanh x \\ &+ \frac{-4B}{(-2i(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x + 2B)} + \frac{8(B^2 - (A+\frac{1}{2})^2)}{(-2i(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x + 2B)^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

whose bound state energy eigenvalues are same as given in Eq. (44). The wave functions in terms of X_1 exceptional orthogonal Jacobi polynomials become

$$\psi^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}_n(x) = N^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}_{n,extd}\frac{(\mathrm{sech}\,x)^{B-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\{-i(A+\frac{1}{2})\tan^{-1}(\sinh x)\}}{-2i(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x + 2B}\hat{P}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n+1}(i\sinh x). \tag{51}$$

The superpotential corresponding to this new potential is

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= (B - \frac{1}{2})\tanh x + i(A + \frac{1}{2})\,\mathrm{sech}\,x + 2i(A + \frac{1}{2})\cosh x \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{-2i(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x + 2B - 2} - \frac{1}{-2i(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x + 2B}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

and the partner potential is

$$V_{2,extd}^{(A,B)}(x) = -((B-1)^2 + A(A+1))\,\mathrm{sech}^2 x + i(B-1)(2A+1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,x\tanh x + \frac{-4(B-1)}{(-2i(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x + 2B - 2))} + \frac{8((B-1)^2 - (A+\frac{1}{2})^2)}{(-2i(A+\frac{1}{2})\sinh x + 2B - 2))^2}. \quad (53)$$

Similar GPT and Scarf I, this new rationally extended potential also generalizes to the potentials whose solutions are in terms of X_m exceptional Jacobi polynomials given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_m^{(A,B)}(x) &= (-B^2 - A(A+1))\,\mathrm{sech}^2 x + iB(2A+1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,x\tanh x \\ &+ 2m(2A-m+2) + (2A-m+2) \\ &\times [(-2B) + (2A+2)i\sinh x]\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-\alpha,\beta)}(i\sinh x)}{P_m^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}(i\sinh x)} \\ &- \frac{(2A-m+2)^2\cosh^2 x}{2}\left(\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-\alpha,\beta)}(i\sinh x)}{P_m^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}(i\sinh x)}\right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

and

$$\psi_{n,m}^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})}(x) = N_{n,m,extd}^{(B\leftrightarrow A+\frac{1}{2})} \times \frac{(\mathrm{sech}\,x)^A \exp(-iB\tan^{-1}(\sinh x))}{P_m^{(-\alpha-1,\beta-1)}(i\sinh x)}\hat{P}_{n+m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(i\sinh x). \quad (55)$$

This potential is also isospectral to the conventional one whose bound state energy eigenvalues are given by Eq. (44). The above new potential is still SI under the translation of parameter $B \longrightarrow B-1$.

3 The $so(2,1)$ algebra and its realizations

In Ref. [29], we have extended the works of Alhassid et al [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39] and obtained the modified generators $J_\pm$ and J_3 corresponding to $so(2,1)$ algebra for the rationally extended potentials whose solutions are in the form of EOPs. After observing different parametric symmetries in the conventional potentials it is interesting to see that the generators corresponding to these conventional potentials are different with different Casimir operators. We have also shown that these parametric symmetries generate a new set of rationally extended potentials whose solutions are in the forms of exceptional Jacobi polynomials. In this section the generators of the above algebra corresponding to these new rationally extended potentials are also obtained.

In this section, first we briefly review the $so(2,1)$ potential algebra and its unitary representations. This algebra consists of three generators $J_\pm$ and J_3 and satisfy the

commutation relations

$$[J_+, J_-] = -2J_3; \qquad [J_3, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm \,. \tag{56}$$

The differential realization of these generators corresponding to the conventional potentials [37] is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_\pm &= e^{\pm i\phi}\bigg[\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \bigg((-i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})F(x) - G(x)\bigg)\bigg] \,, \\ J_3 &= -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

However, we find that these generators are not sufficient to explain the spectrum of the rationally extended SI potentials. Hence, we have constructed the $so(2,1)$ algebra by modifying $J_\pm$ with the inclusion of a new operator, $U(x, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})$ [29] as,

$$J_\pm = e^{\pm i\phi}\bigg[\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \bigg((-i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})F(x) - G(x)\bigg) - U(x, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})\bigg] \,, \tag{58}$$

and keeping the generator J_3 unchanged.
Here $F(x)$, $G(x)$ and $U(x, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})$ are two functions and a functional operator respectively.

In order to satisfy the $so(2,1)$ algebra (56) by these new generators $J_\pm$ and J_3, the following restrictions on the functions $F(x)$, $G(x)$ and $U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2})$

$$\frac{d}{dx}F(x) + F^2(x) = 1; \qquad \frac{d}{dx}G(x) + F(x)G(x) = 0; \tag{59}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigg[U^2(x, k-\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{d}{dx}U(x, k-\frac{1}{2}) + 2U(x, k-\frac{1}{2})\bigg(F(x)(k-\frac{1}{2}) - G(x)\bigg)\bigg] - \\ &\bigg[U^2(x, k+\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{d}{dx}U(x, k+\frac{1}{2}) + 2U(x, k+\frac{1}{2})\bigg(F(x)(k+\frac{1}{2}) - G(x)\bigg)\bigg] = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

are required.

Here we note that the Eq. (59) is the same as for the conventional potentials [37] while an additional condition (60) appears due to the presence of the extra term $U(x, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})$ in $J_\pm$.

The Casimir operator, for the $so(2,1)$ algebra, in terms of the above generators is given by

$$J^2 = J_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}(J_+J_- + J_-J_+) = J_3^2 \mp J_3 - J_\pm J_\mp \,. \tag{61}$$

For the bound states, the basis for an irreducible representation of extended $so(2,1)$ is characterized by

$$J^2 \,|j,k\rangle = j(j+1)\,|j,k\rangle \,; \qquad J_3\,|j,k\rangle = k\,|j,k\rangle \ , \tag{62}$$

and

$$J_{\pm}\,|j,k\rangle = [-(j\mp k)(j\pm k+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\,|j,k\pm 1\rangle\ . \tag{63}$$

Using (58), the differential realization of the Casimir operator in terms of $F(x)$, $G(x)$ and $U(x, J_3 - \frac{1}{2})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \Big(1 - F^2(x)\Big)(J_3^2 - \frac{1}{4}) - 2\frac{dG(x)}{dx}(J_3) - G^2 - \frac{1}{4} \\ &- \Big[U^2(x, J_3 - \frac{1}{2}) + \Big(\big(J_3 - \frac{1}{2}\big)F(x) - G(x)\Big)U(x, J_3 - \frac{1}{2}) \\ &+ U(x, J_3 - \frac{1}{2})\Big(\big(J_3 - \frac{1}{2}\big)F(x) - G(x)\Big) - \frac{d}{dx}U(x, J_3 - \frac{1}{2})\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

and the basis $|j,k\rangle$ in the form of function is given as

$$|j,k\rangle = \psi_{jk}(x,\phi) \simeq \psi_{jk}(x)e^{ik\phi}\,. \tag{65}$$

The functions (65) satisfy the Schroödinger equation

$$\Big[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_k(x)\Big]\psi_{jk}(x) = E\psi_{jk}(x)\,, \tag{66}$$

where $V_k(x)$ is one parameter family of k-dependent potentials given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(x) &= (F^2(x) - 1)(k^2 - \frac{1}{4}) + 2k\frac{d}{dx}G(x) + G^2(x) \\ &+ \Big[U^2(x, k - \frac{1}{2}) + 2\Big(\big(k - \frac{1}{2}\big)F(x) - G(x)\Big)U(x, k - \frac{1}{2}) \\ &- \frac{d}{dx}U(x, k - \frac{1}{2})\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

and the corresponding energy eigenvalues are given by

$$E_j = -\big(j + \frac{1}{2}\big)^2\,. \tag{68}$$

By replacing $U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2}) \Rightarrow U(x, m, k \pm \frac{1}{2})$, the above realizations are also suitable for the one parameter family of rationally extended SI potentials associated with X_m exceptional orthogonal polynomials. For a check, if we put $m = 0$ i.e. $U(x, 0, k - \frac{1}{2}) = 0$ in the above Eq. (67), we get the expressions for corresponding conventional potentials discussed in Ref. [37].

Thus the Hamiltonian in terms of the Casimir operator of $so(2,1)$ algebra is given by

$$H = -\big(J^2 + \frac{1}{4}\big)\,. \tag{69}$$

It may be noted that the $so(2,1)$ algebra (58) with the modified generators satisfies the same unitary representation as satisfied by the generators corresponding to the usual potentials [37]. Here we discuss the unitary representation of $so(2,1)$ algebra corresponding to the discrete principal series D_j^+ for which $j < 0$ i.e.,

$$k = -j + n; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ..., . \tag{70}$$

Thus the energy eigenvalues (68) corresponding to this series will be

$$E_n = -\big(n - (k - \frac{1}{2})\big)^2 . \tag{71}$$

3.1 Generalized Pöschl Teller potential

For GPT potential, the spectrum under the change of parameters $B \leftrightarrow A + \frac{1}{2}$ can be obtained by choosing

$$F(x) = \coth x; \qquad G(x) = (A + \frac{1}{2})\text{cosech}x \, . \tag{72}$$

For new extended GPT potential whose bound states are in terms of X_1 EOPs as given by (15), we also need to choose $U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2})$ as

$$U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2}) = \left(\frac{(2A+1)\sinh x}{(2A+1)\cosh x - 2(k \pm \frac{1}{2}) - 1} - \frac{(2A+1)\sinh x}{(2A+1)\cosh x - 2(k \pm \frac{1}{2}) + 1} \right); \tag{73}$$

with $A > k > 0$. On substituting these functions in (67), we get the expression for new rationally extended GPT potential given in equation (15) with the parameter B is replaced by k. The energy eigenvalues of this extended potential are same as that of conventional one (i.e they are isospectral) (71) and the associated wavefunctions $\psi_{jk}(x)$ (66) are given in terms of X_1 exceptional Jacobi polynomials.

The new rationally extended potentials (19) corresponding to the X_m case can be obtained by assuming

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2}) \;\; &\Rightarrow \;\; U(x, m, k \pm \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= \;\; \frac{(m - 2A - 2)\sinh x}{2} \times \Bigg[\frac{P_{m-1}^{((k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A-1)}(\cosh x)}{P_m^{(k\pm\frac{1}{2}-A-1, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})A-2)}(\cosh x)} \\ &- \;\; \frac{P_{m-1}^{((k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A-1, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A)}(\cosh x)}{P_m^{(k\pm\frac{1}{2}-A-2, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A-1)}(\cosh x)} \Bigg] , \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where $P_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cosh x)$ is conventional Jacobi polynomials. The energy eigenvalues will be same as given in (71).

For $m = 0$, the function i.e $U(x, m, k \pm \frac{1}{2}) = U(x, 0, k - \frac{1}{2}) = 0$, we get the conventional GPT potential.

3.2 PT symmetric complex Scarf-II potential

In this section, we consider the new conventional and rationally extended PT symmetric complex Scarf II potential obtained in section 2 after the transformation of parameters $B \longleftrightarrow A + \frac{1}{2}$. For these complex potential we use extended $sl(2,\mathbb{C})$ potential algebra. In this algebra at least one of functions $F(x)$, $G(x)$ and $U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2})$ must be complex and satisfy Eqs. (59) and (60).

For the conventional PT symmetric complex Scarf II potential which is invariant under $B \leftrightarrow A + \frac{1}{2}$, we consider the functions

$$F(x) = \tanh x; \qquad G(x) = i(A + \frac{1}{2}) \operatorname{sech} x. \tag{75}$$

In addition to these functions new rationally extended complex Scarf II potential (50) associated with X_1 EOPs are obtained by defining

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, k - \frac{1}{2}) \;\; &\Rightarrow \;\; U(x, 1, k - \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= \;\; \left[\frac{2i(A + \frac{1}{2}) \cosh x}{(-2i(A + \frac{1}{2}) \sinh x + 2k - 2)} - \frac{2i(A + \frac{1}{2}) \cosh x}{(-2i(A + \frac{1}{2}) \sinh x + 2k)} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

On putting all these functions $F(x)$, $G(x)$ and $U(k - \frac{1}{2})$ in (67), we get the expression for new rationally extended PT symmetric potential (which is on the full-line $-\infty \leq x \leq \infty$) (50) with the parameter B is being replaced by k.
The energy eigenvalues for this extended complex potential are real and are the same as that of the conventional one given by

$$E_n = -(n - k + \frac{1}{2})^2; \quad n = 0, 1, ..., n_{max}; \qquad n_{max} < (k - \frac{1}{2}), \tag{77}$$

and the associated wavefunctions $\psi_{jk}(x)$ (66) are given in terms of X_1 exceptional Jacobi polynomials. The new rationally extended complex Scarf II potentials (54) having same energy eigenvalues (77) and associated with the X_m EOPs are obtained by assuming

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2}) \;\; &\Rightarrow \;\; U(x, m, k \pm \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= \;\; \frac{(m - 2B - 2) i \cosh x}{2} \times \left[\frac{P_{m-1}^{(k\pm\frac{1}{2}-A, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A-1)}(i \sinh x)}{P_m^{(k\pm\frac{1}{2}-A-1, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A-2)}(i \sinh x)} \right. \\ &- \;\; \left. \frac{P_{m-1}^{(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A-1, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A)}(i \sinh x)}{P_m^{(k\pm\frac{1}{2}-A-2, -(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A-1)}(i \sinh x)} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

For $m = 0$, the function $U(x, m, k \pm \frac{1}{2})$ becomes zero, hence we obtain the usual case of $sl(2,\mathbb{C})$ and the corresponding conventional complex Scarf II potential. On the other hand for $m = 1$, we recover our results corresponding to the X_1 case as discussed above.

3.3 The $iso(2,1)$ algebra and trigonometric Scarf potential

In Ref. [29], we have discussed the potential algebra approach to the rationally extended GPT and PT symmetric complex Scarf II potentials only. Following the works of Levai [46], we are now able to obtain the bound state spectrums of rationally extended SI Scarf I potential. In this section first we obtain the spectrums of rationally extended Scarf I potentials (31) and then we consider the new rationally extended Scarf I potentials obtained after the parametric transformation $B \longleftrightarrow A - \frac{1}{2}$.

For these potentials, the above $so(2,1)$ algebra is not suitable. The algebra corresponding to this potential is obtained by multiplying the generators $J_\pm$ of $so(2,1)$ algebra with an imaginary number i, thus the resulting potential algebra for this potential is $iso(2,1)$. The modified generators $J_\pm$ corresponding to this algebra are given as

$$J_\pm = ie^{\pm i\phi}\bigg[\pm\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \bigg((-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})F(x) - G(x)\bigg) + U(x, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})\bigg]. \tag{79}$$

Similar to the $so(2,1)$ case, to satisfy $iso(2,1)$ algebra by these generators $J_\pm$ and J_3, the commutation relations (56) have to be satisfied. This requirements provide following restrictions on the functions $F(x)$, $G(x)$ and $U(x, k \pm \frac{1}{2})$

$$\frac{d}{dx}F(x) - F^2(x) = 1; \qquad \frac{d}{dx}G(x) - F(x)G(x) = 0; \tag{80}$$

and

$$\bigg[U^2(x,k+\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{d}{dx}U(x,k+\frac{1}{2}) + 2U(x,k+\frac{1}{2})\bigg(F(x)(k+\frac{1}{2}) - G(x)\bigg)\bigg] -$$
$$\bigg[U^2(x,k-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{d}{dx}U(x,k-\frac{1}{2}) + 2U(x,k-\frac{1}{2})\bigg(F(x)(k+\frac{1}{2}) - G(x)\bigg)\bigg] = 0\,. \tag{81}$$

Here also we note that Eq. (80) is the same as for the usual potentials [46] while an extra Eq. (81) appears due to the presence of the extra term $U(x, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \pm \frac{1}{2})$.
Using (79), the differential realization of the Casimir operator in terms of $F(x)$, $G(x)$ and $U(x, J_3 + \frac{1}{2})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (1+F^2(x))\big(J_3^2 - \frac{1}{4}\big) - 2\frac{dG(x)}{dx}(J_3) + G^2 - \frac{1}{4} \\ &+ U^2(x, J_3+\frac{1}{2}) + \bigg(\big(J_3+\frac{1}{2}\big)F(x) - G(x)\bigg)U(x, J_3+\frac{1}{2}) \\ &+ U(x, J_3+\frac{1}{2})\bigg(\big(J_3+\frac{1}{2}\big)F(x) - G(x)\bigg) - \frac{d}{dx}U(x, J_3+\frac{1}{2})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Thus the Hamiltonian in terms of the Casimir operator of $iSO(2,1)$ algebra is given by

$$H = \big(J^2 + \frac{1}{4}\big)\,. \tag{83}$$

The unitary representation of $iso(2,1)$ algebra will be same as of $so(2,1)$.

The spectrums of the conventional Scarf I potential (22) are obtained by considering [46]

$$F(x) = \tan x; \qquad G(x) = B\sec x; \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < x < \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad 0 < B < k - \frac{1}{2},$$

and for the rationally extended Scarf I potential (31) we also choose

$$U(x, k+\frac{1}{2}) \;=\; \left[\frac{-2B\cos x}{(-2B\sin x + 2(k+\frac{1}{2}) - 1)} + \frac{2B\cos x}{(-2B\sin x + 2(k+\frac{1}{2}) + 1)}\right], \tag{84}$$

so that the above conditions (80) and (81) are satisfied. On substituting these functions in (82), we get the rationally extended Scarf I potential (31) with the parameter A is replaced $k+\frac{1}{2}$. The energy eigenvalues of this extended potential are isospectral to their conventional counterpart and are given by Eq. (83) and (71) i.e.

$$E_n = (j+\frac{1}{2})^2. \tag{85}$$

The associated wavefunctions of this potentials are given in terms of X_1 exceptional Jacobi polynomial.
Similar to the rationally extended GPT and PT symmetric Scarf II potentials [29] the extended Scarf I potential whose solutions are in terms of X_m EOPs can be obtained by defining

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, k\pm\frac{1}{2}) \;&\Rightarrow\; U(x, m, k\pm\frac{1}{2}) \\ &=\; \frac{(2B+m-1)\cos x}{2} \times \left[\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-\alpha,\beta)}(\sin x)}{P_m^{(-\alpha-1,\beta)}(\sin x)}\right. \\ &-\; \left.\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-\alpha-1,\beta+1)}(\sin x)}{P_m^{(-\alpha-2,\beta)}(\sin x)}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

with $\alpha = k\pm\frac{1}{2} - B - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = k\pm\frac{1}{2} + B - \frac{1}{2}$. For $m=0$, $U(x, k\pm\frac{1}{2}) \Rightarrow U(x, 0, k\pm\frac{1}{2}) = 0$, Eq. (82) produces the conventional Scarf I potential.

After transforming the parameters $B \leftrightarrow A - \frac{1}{2}$, the conventional Scarf I potential (22) remain invariant which can be obtained by using

$$F(x) = \tan x; \qquad G(x) = (A - \frac{1}{2})\sec x. \tag{87}$$

For the new rationally extended Scarf I potential (33), in addition to $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ we define

$$U(x, k+\frac{1}{2}) \;=\; \left[\frac{(2A-1)\cos x}{2(k+\frac{1}{2}) + 1 - (2A-1)\sin x} - \frac{(2A-1)\cos x}{2(k+\frac{1}{2}) - 1 - (2A-1)\sin x}\right]. \tag{88}$$

The potentials corresponding to the X_m case (37) are obtained by constructing

$$\begin{aligned} U(x,k\pm\frac{1}{2}) &\Rightarrow U(x,m,k\pm\frac{1}{2}) \\ &= \frac{(2A+m-2)\cos x}{2}\times\left[\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-(k\pm\frac{1}{2})-A,k\pm\frac{1}{2}+A-1)}(\sin x)}{P_m^{(-(k\pm\frac{1}{2})+A-1,k\pm\frac{1}{2}+A-1)}(\sin x)}\right. \\ &- \left.\frac{P_{m-1}^{(-(k\pm\frac{1}{2})+A-1,k\pm\frac{1}{2}+A)}(\sin x)}{P_m^{(-(k\pm\frac{1}{2})+A-2,k\pm\frac{1}{2}+A-1)}(\sin x)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

Using these functions in Eq. (82), we get the expression for new rationally extended Scarf I potentials Eq. (37) with the parameters B is replaced by k.

4 Summary and discussion

In this work we have discussed the different parametric symmetries in conventional real as well as PT symmetric complex potential. It has been shown that the above symmetry provides a new set of bound states with new superpotentials. As examples we consider three conventional potentials namely GPT, Scarf I and PT symmetries Scarf II potentials and obtained corresponding new potentials which are invariant under the above symmetries with completely different bound states. The rationally extended potentials corresponding to these new potentials are obtained whose solutions are in terms of EOPs. New ES potentials which are isospectral to the conventional potentials are obtained for all three cases.

Further we have studied the effect of these parametric symmetries powerful technique of group theoretic method in which the Hamiltonian for the new conventional as well as rationally extended GPT, Scarf I and PT symmetric Scarf-II systems are expressed purely in terms of the modified Casimir operator of $so(2,1)$, $iso(2,1)$ and $sl(2,\mathbb{C})$ groups respectively.

Acknowledgments

BPM acknowledges the financial support from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India under SERC project sanction grant No. SR/S2/HEP-0009/2012. AK wishes to thank Indian National Science Academy (INSA) for the award of INSA senior scientist position at Savitribai Phule Pune University. NK acknowledges the financial support from BHU Varanasi in the form of CRET fellowship.

References

[1] S. Flüge, *Pracital Quantum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, NY 1971.

[2] F. Cooper, A. Khare, U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rep.* **251** (1995) 267; *"SUSY in Quantum Mechanics"* World Scientific (2001).

[3] E. Witten *Nucl. Phys. B* **188** (1981) 513.

[4] L.E. Gendenshtein *JETP Lett.* **38** (1983) 356.

[5] D. J. Fernandez C. and V. Hussin, *J. Math. A* **32** (1999) 3603.

[6] J.M. Carballo, D.J.Fernandez C., J. Negro and L. M. Nieto, *J. Phys. A* **37** (2004) 10349.

[7] D. Gomez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, *J. Math. Anal.Appl.* **359** (2009) 352.

[8] D. Gomez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, *J. Phys. A* **43** (2010) 434016.

[9] D. Gomez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, *Contemporary Mathematics* **563** 51 2012.

[10] D. Gomez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, *Exceptional orthogonal polynomials and the Darboux transformation*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010) 434016, *arXiv*: 1002.2666v2.

[11] C. Quesne, "Ladder operators for solvable potentials connected with exceptional orthogonal polynomials" eprint arXiv: 1412.5874

[12] I. Marquette and C. Quesne *J. Math. Phys*, **54** (2013) 102102.

[13] C. Quesne, *J.Phys.A* **41** (2008) 392001.

[14] B. Bagchi, C. Quesne and R. Roychoudhary, *Pramana J. Phys.* **73**(2009) 337, C. Quesne, SIGMA **5** (2009) 84; A. Khare, Unpublished.

[15] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, *Phys. Lett. B*, **684** (2010) 173; ibid **679** (2009) 414. *J. Math. Phys*, **51**, 053513 (2010).

[16] R. K. Yadav et al., *Rationally extended shape invariant potentials in arbitrary D-dimensions associated with exceptional X_m polynomials*, *arXiv*: 1412.5445 [quant-ph].

[17] S. Sree Ranjani et al., *Exceptional orthogonal polynomials, QHJ formalism SWKB quantization condition*, *J. Phys. A* **45** 055210 (2012).

[18] B. Midya and B. Roy, *Phys. Lett. A* **373** 4117 (2009).

[19] T. Tanaka, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** 032101 (2010).

[20] B. Midya, B. Roy, and T. Tanaka, *J. Phys. A* **45** 205303 (2012).

[21] C.-L. Ho, *Ann. Phys.* **326** 797 (2011).

[22] R. K. Yadav, A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, *Annals of Physics* **331** (2013) 313.

[23] R. K. Yadav, A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, *Phys. Lett. B* **723** (2013) 433.

[24] R. K. Yadav, A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, *Phys. Lett. A* **379** (2015) 67; *arXiv:1309.6755* [quant-ph].

[25] A. Schulze-Halberg and B. Roy, *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014) 123506.

[26] Y. Grandati *Ann. Phys.* **326** 2074 (2011)

[27] Y. Grandati, *Ann. Phys.* **327** 185 (2012).

[28] Y. Grandati, *Ann. Phys.* **327** 2411 (2012).

[29] R. K. Yadav, N. Kumari, A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, *Annals of Physics* **359** (2015) 46.

[30] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 5243.
C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *J. Phys. A* **31** (1998) L273.

[31] C.M. Bender, *Rep.Prog.Phys.*, **70** (2007) 947.

[32] A. Mostafazadeh, *Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys.*, **7** (2010) 1191.

[33] Y. Alhassid, F. Gürsey and F. Iachello, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **50** (1983) 12.

[34] Y. Alhassid, F. Gürsey and F. Iachello, *Ann. Phys.* **148** (1983) 346.

[35] Y. Alhassid, J. Engel and J. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **53** (1984) 1.

[36] Y. Alhassid and J. Wu, *Chem. Phys. Letters* **109** (1984) 3.

[37] J. Wu and Y. Allhassid, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990) 557.

[38] A. O. Barut, A. Inomata, R. Wilson *J.Phys. A: Math.Gen* **20** (1987) 4075 *J.Phys. A: Math.Gen* **20** 4083 (1987).

[39] R.D. Tangerman, J.A. Tjon,*Phys. Rev. A* **48** (1993) 1089.

[40] C.V. Sukumar,*J. Phys. A: Math.Gen.* **19** (1986) 2229.

[41] M.J. Englefield, C. Quesne, *J.Phys. A: Math.Gen.* **24** (1991) 827.

[42] B. Bagchi and C. Quesne, *Phys. Lett. A* **273** (2000) 285.

[43] B. Bagchi and C. Quesne, *Phys. Lett. A* **300** (2002) 18.

[44] B. Bagchi and C. Quesne, *Ann. Phys.* **326** (2011) 534.

[45] A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, *J. Phys. A: Math.Gen.* **21** (1988) L501.

[46] G. Levai, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994) 3809.

[47] K. Abhinav and P.K.Panigrahi, *Ann. Phys.* **325** (2010) 1198.

[48] F. Correa and M.S. Plyushchay, *Ann. Phys.* **327** (2012) 1761.

[49] Z. Ahmed, *Phys. Lett. A* **282** (2001) 343; **287** (2001) 295.

[50] G. Levai, M. Znojil, *J. Phys. A* **33** (2000) 7165;
G. Levai, F. Cannata, A. Ventura, *Phys. Lett. A* **300** (2002) 271;